on In ver th Plea	CHF Audit - Wanted Persons Wanted Persons structions: e questions for each Wanted Persons record provided by your auditor. see enter the LEADS number of the Wanted Persons record being reviewed
on In er th Plea	e questions for each Wanted Persons record provided by your auditor. se enter the LEADS number of the Wanted Persons record being reviewed.
ver th Plea	e questions for each Wanted Persons record provided by your auditor. se enter the LEADS number of the Wanted Persons record being reviewed.
Plea	se enter the LEADS number of the Wanted Persons record being reviewed.
1)). Timeliness: Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (DTE
ai	nd TME)
w	as this record entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court?
	Yes
	No
2)). Use of Caution: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry responses
	the entry requires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE)and is the eason for caution entered as the first item in the MIS field?
»	(Choose One Answer Only)
	Yes
	No
	N/A
S). Completeness: Prior to entry, agencies are required to conduct prerequisite inquiries (10-27, 10-28, CHRI, oundex, title search, FOID inquiry, local file check, etc.) to obtain additional information with which to pack the ecord.
th	ompare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry responses. Do the identifiers ne entry match those in the supportive documentation and were all available identifiers included in the ntry (NAM, DOB, SOC, DLN, SMTs, aliases, etc.)?
	Yes
	No
). Extradition: If applicable, compare court issued geographic (GEO)/Extradition (EXL) limits with those entere n the record.
w	/ere they entered correctly?
	(Choose One Answer Only)
	Yes
	No
	N/A
5)). Accuracy: Was this entry checked for accuracy and completeness by a second party within 24 hours of entry?
	Yes
	No

Page	2	of	5
------	---	----	---

	1). Timeliness: Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (DT
	and TME).
	Was this record entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court?
	Yes No
	2). Use of Caution: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry response
	If the entry requires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE)and is th reason for caution entered as the first item in the MIS field?
	» (Choose One Answer Only)
	Yes
	No
	N/A
	3). Completeness: Prior to entry, agencies are required to conduct prerequisite inquiries (10-27, 10-28, CHRI, Soundex, title search, FOID inquiry, local file check, etc.) to obtain additional information with which to pack th record.
	Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry responses. Do the identifier the entry match those in the supportive documentation and were all available identifiers included in the entry (NAM, DOB, SOC, DLN, SMTs, aliases, etc.)?
	Yes
	No
	4). Extradition: If applicable, compare court issued geographic (GEO)/Extradition (EXL) limits with those enter on the record.
	Were they entered correctly?
	» (Choose One Answer Only)
	Yes
	No
	N/A
_	5). Accuracy: Was this entry checked for accuracy and completeness by a second party within 24 hours of entr
	Yes
	No
e	ease enter the LEADS number of the Wanted Persons record being reviewed.
	1). Timeliness: Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (DT and TME).
	We also an and an table 24 hours of a solution at a source 2
	Was this record entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court?

_	
	2). Use of Caution: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry responses
	If the entry requires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE)and is the reason for caution entered as the first item in the MIS field?
	» (Choose One Answer Only)
	Yes
	No
	N/A
	3). Completeness: Prior to entry, agencies are required to conduct prerequisite inquiries (10-27, 10-28, CHRI, Soundex, title search, FOID inquiry, local file check, etc.) to obtain additional information with which to pack the record.
	Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry responses. Do the identifiers the entry match those in the supportive documentation and were all available identifiers included in the entry (NAM, DOB, SOC, DLN, SMTs, aliases, etc.)?
	Yes
	No
	4). Extradition: If applicable, compare court issued geographic (GEO)/Extradition (EXL) limits with those entered on the record.
	Were they entered correctly?
	» (Choose One Answer Only)
	Yes
	No
	N/A
_	5). Accuracy: Was this entry checked for accuracy and completeness by a second party within 24 hours of entry?
	Yes
	No
- E	ease enter the LEADS number of the Wanted Persons record being reviewed.
_	
	1). Timeliness: Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (DTE and TME).
	Was this record entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court?
	Yes
	No
	2). Use of Caution: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry responses
	If the entry requires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE) and is the
	reason for caution entered as the first item in the MIS field?

N/A	
	ess: Prior to entry, agencies are required to conduct prerequisite inquiries (10-27, 10-28, CHRI, search, FOID inquiry, local file check, etc.) to obtain additional information with which to pack t
the entry mate	entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry responses. Do the identifie h those in the supportive documentation and were all available identifiers included in the DB, SOC, DLN, SMTs, aliases, etc.)?
Yes	
No	
4). Extradition on the record.	: If applicable, compare court issued geographic (GEO)/Extradition (EXL) limits with those ente
Were they ent	ered correctly?
» (Choose One A	
Yes	
No	
N/A	
Yes No	
ase enter t	he LEADS number of the Wanted Persons record being reviewed.
1). Timeliness:	the LEADS number of the Wanted Persons record being reviewed.
1). Timeliness: and TME).	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (D1
1). Timeliness: and TME). Was this recor	
1). Timeliness: and TME).	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (D1
1). Timeliness: and TME). Was this recor Yes No	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (D1
 Timeliness: and TME). Was this recorn Yes No Use of Caut If the entry recorn 	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (DT d entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court?
 Timeliness: and TME). Was this recorn Yes No Use of Caut If the entry recorn 	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (D) d entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court? ion: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry respons puires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE)and is the tion entered as the first item in the MIS field?
 1). Timeliness: and TME). Was this recorrying Yes No 2). Use of Caute If the entry representation of the caute 	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (D) d entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court? ion: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry respons puires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE)and is the tion entered as the first item in the MIS field?
 Timeliness: and TME). Was this recorrying Yes No Use of Caute Use of Caute If the entry reason for caute (Choose One Astronomy Content of Content of Content of Caute 	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (D) d entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court? ion: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry respons puires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE)and is the tion entered as the first item in the MIS field?
 Timeliness: and TME). Was this recorver Yes No Use of Caute Use of Caute If the entry records Yes 	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (D) d entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court? ion: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry respons puires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE)and is the tion entered as the first item in the MIS field?
 1). Timeliness: and TME). Was this recording the second secon	Compare the date/time the warrant was received from the court and the entry's date/time (D) d entered within 24 hours of receipt from the court? ion: Compare this entry to its supportive documentation, including prerequisite inquiry respons puires a caution indicator, was a caution indicator included with the message key (MKE)and is the tion entered as the first item in the MIS field?

Yes	
No	
 Extradition the record. 	n: If applicable, compare court issued geographic (GEO)/Extradition (EXL) limits with those entered
Nere they ent	tered correctly?
> (Choose One	Answer Only)
Yes	
No	
N/A	
5). Accuracy:	Was this entry checked for accuracy and completeness by a second party within 24 hours of entry?
Yes	
No	